

Orbifoldization, covering surfaces and uniformization theory

Peter Bantay

*Institute for Theoretical Physics
Rolland Eötvös University, Budapest*

Abstract The connection between the theory of permutation orbifolds, covering surfaces and uniformization is investigated, and the higher genus partition functions of an arbitrary permutation orbifold are expressed in terms of those of the original theory.

Consider a Rational Conformal Field Theory $\mathcal{C}$ and a permutation group Ω of degree n . The elements of Ω act as global symmetries of the n -fold tensor power $\mathcal{C}^{\otimes n}$ - whose fields are just n -fold products of fields of $\mathcal{C}$ -, so one may orbifoldize with respect to Ω , obtaining the permutation orbifold $\mathcal{C} \wr \Omega$. The resulting orbifold theories have been first considered in [1, 2]. More detailed investigations may be found in [3, 4], the latter giving a mathematically rigorous approach through the theory of Vertex Operator Algebras. Permutation orbifold techniques have been applied in [5] to the computation of the free-energy of the second quantized string, and in [6] to matrix string theory. The general structure of permutation orbifolds for arbitrary permutation groups Ω , including the classification of primary fields and the explicit form of the genus 1 characters and their modular transformation properties, can be found in [7]. An important aspect of the results of [7] is the connection of permutation orbifolds with the theory of covering surfaces.

The aim of this paper is to present a formula expressing the higher genus partition functions of the permutation orbifold $\mathcal{C} \wr \Omega$ in terms of the higher genus partition functions of $\mathcal{C}$, once again through an application of the theory of covering surfaces. To achieve this goal, let's first take a look at the genus one case.

As explained in [7], the genus one partition function $Z_1^\Omega(\tau)$ of $\mathcal{C} \wr \Omega$ may be expressed through the partition function $Z_1(\tau)$ of $\mathcal{C}$ as follows :

$$Z_1^\Omega(\tau) = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \sum_{\substack{x, y \in \Omega \\ xy=yx}} \prod_{\xi \in \mathcal{O}(x, y)} Z_1(\tau_\xi) \quad (1)$$

where $\mathcal{O}(x, y)$ denotes the set of orbits of the group generated by the commuting permutations x and y , and

$$\tau_\xi = \frac{\mu_\xi \tau + \kappa_\xi}{\lambda_\xi} \quad (2)$$

with $\mu_\xi, \kappa_\xi, \lambda_\xi$ being numerical characteristics of the orbit ξ , namely μ_ξ is the number of x orbits contained in ξ , λ_ξ is their common length, while κ_ξ is an integer such that $y^{\mu_\xi} x^{-\kappa_\xi}$ belongs to the stabilizer of ξ .

Note that the summation in Eq.(1) over commuting pairs x, y may be rewritten as a sum over homomorphisms from $\Gamma_1 = \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}$ - the fundamental group of the torus Σ_1 - into the twist group Ω , x and y corresponding to the images of the generators. Such a homomorphism $\phi : \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Omega$ determines on one hand a

permutation representation of Γ_1 , and on the other hand an unramified covering of the surface Σ_1 , where the image under ϕ of an element of Γ_1 determines the monodromy around the corresponding curve. This unramified covering is not connected in general, its connected components - which are all tori according to Riemann-Hurwitz - being in one-to-one correspondence with the orbits of the permutation group $\phi(\Gamma_1)$. Moreover, it may be shown that the modular parameter of the torus corresponding to some orbit $\xi \in \mathcal{O}(\phi) := \mathcal{O}(x, y)$ is just given by τ_ξ , if the modular parameter of the original torus Σ_1 was τ . In other words, Z_1^Ω is given as a sum over all degree n unramified coverings of Σ_1 whose monodromy group is a subgroup of Ω , where each summand is the product of the contributions of the covering's connected components.

To attack the higher genus case, our first task is to discuss the parametrization of Teichmüller space that is the most appropriate for our purposes. This so-called Fricke parametrization is connected with the uniformization theory of Riemann surfaces, so we begin with a sketchy review of this subject (c.f. [8]).

Consider a closed Riemann surface Σ_g of genus $g > 1$. Σ_g is not simply connected, its fundamental group Γ_g being an infinite group with presentation

$$\Gamma_g = \langle a_1, b_1, \dots, a_g, b_g \mid \prod_{i=1}^g [a_i, b_i] = 1 \rangle \quad (3)$$

where $[a, b] = a^{-1}b^{-1}ab$ denotes the commutator of a and b . By the Riemann mapping theorem, the universal covering of Σ_g is the upper half-plane $\mathbf{H} = \{z \mid \text{Im} z > 0\}$, and we have the following isomorphism of Riemann surfaces

$$\Sigma_g \cong \mathbf{H}/\tau(\Gamma_g), \quad (4)$$

where $\tau : \Gamma_g \rightarrow SL(2, \mathbf{R})$ is an embedding of the fundamental group Γ_g of Σ_g into the group $SL(2, \mathbf{R}) = \text{Aut}(\mathbf{H})$ of automorphisms of the upper half-plane $\mathbf{H}$, whose image $\tau(\Gamma_g)$ is a purely hyperbolic Fuchsian group [9]. It follows that $\tau : \Gamma_g \rightarrow SL(2, \mathbf{R})$ determines a point in the Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}_g$ of genus g closed surfaces, with the proviso that two embeddings differing by an inner automorphism of $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$ correspond to the same point in $\mathcal{T}_g$. It should be noted that, if M_g denotes those automorphisms of the free group generated by $a_1, b_1, \dots, a_g, b_g$ that leave the product $\prod_{i=1}^g [a_i, b_i]$ invariant, then the composite map

$$\tau \circ \alpha : \Gamma_g \rightarrow SL(2, \mathbf{R}) \quad (5)$$

with $\alpha \in M_g$ is an embedding which generally corresponds to some other point in $\mathcal{T}_g$, but nevertheless induces the same complex structure on the quotient, that is τ and $\tau \circ \alpha$ determine the same point in the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_g$ of genus g closed surfaces. This means that M_g is the genus g mapping class group, with the prescribed action on $\mathcal{T}_g$. This coordinatization of $\mathcal{T}_g$ in terms of the embeddings $\tau : \Gamma_g \rightarrow SL(2, \mathbf{R})$ is the Fricke parametrization that we shall use, and a genus g partition function is a function of $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_g$, subject to the requirement of modular invariance

$$Z(\tau \circ \alpha) = Z(\tau) \quad \forall \alpha \in M_g \quad (6)$$

After these preliminaries, we can express the genus g partition function $Z_g^\Omega(\tau)$ of the permutation orbifold $\mathcal{C} \wr \Omega$ in terms of the partition functions $Z_g(\tau)$ of $\mathcal{C}$. The formula reads

$$Z_g^\Omega(\tau) = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \sum_{\phi: \Gamma_g \rightarrow \Omega} \prod_{\xi \in \mathcal{O}(\phi)} Z_{g_\xi}(\tau_\xi) \quad (7)$$

To understand this formula, note that - in complete analogy with the $g = 1$ case discussed previously - a homomorphism $\phi : \Gamma_g \rightarrow \Omega$ determines a permutation representation of degree n of the group Γ_g , as well as an n -sheeted unramified covering of the Riemann surface Σ_g , where the image $\phi(x)$ of an element of the fundamental group determines how the sheets are permuted when going around the closed curve corresponding to $x \in \Gamma_g$. This covering is usually not connected, its connected components being in one-to-one correspondence with the orbits $\xi \in \mathcal{O}(\phi)$ of the permutation group $\phi(\Gamma_g)$ acting on $\{1, \dots, n\}$, where $\mathcal{O}(\phi)$ denotes the set of these orbits. The connected component corresponding to the orbit $\xi \in \mathcal{O}(\phi)$ is itself a closed Riemann surface, whose genus is

$$g_\xi = |\xi|(g - 1) + 1 \quad (8)$$

by the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, where $|\xi|$ is the length of the orbit ξ . Each such connected component gives a contribution $Z_{g_\xi}(\tau_\xi)$ to the partition function, and all that remains to be done is to determine the Fricke coordinate $\tau_\xi : \Gamma_{g_\xi} \rightarrow SL(2, \mathbf{R})$ of this component. To achieve this, select any point $\xi^* \in \xi$ of the orbit ξ , and consider its stabilizer

$$\mathcal{S}_\xi = \{x \in \Gamma_g \mid \phi(x)\xi^* = \xi^*\} \quad (9)$$

Note that the stabilizer depends on the actual choice of the representative ξ^* , but the stabilizers corresponding to different representatives of the same orbit are conjugate subgroups in Γ_g . It follows from elementary considerations that $\mathcal{S}_\xi$ is a subgroup of finite index $|\xi|$ in Γ_g ,

$$[\Gamma_g : \mathcal{S}_\xi] = |\xi| \quad (10)$$

But any subgroup of Γ_g of index $|\xi|$ is isomorphic to the group Γ_{g_ξ} by the general theory of surface groups. This means that the restriction

$$\tau_\xi : \mathcal{S}_\xi \rightarrow SL(2, \mathbf{R}) \quad (11)$$

of τ to the subgroup $\mathcal{S}_\xi$ may be considered as an embedding

$$\tau_\xi : \Gamma_{g_\xi} \rightarrow SL(2, \mathbf{R}) \quad (12)$$

i.e. it determines a point in the Teichmuller space $\mathcal{T}_{g_\xi}$, and it is obvious that this point does not depend on the actual choice of the orbit representative ξ^* .

Let's note two important features of Eq.(7). First, to determine the Fricke coordinate τ_ξ of the covering surface corresponding to the orbit ξ , we have made use of the existence of an isomorphism

$$\iota : \mathcal{S}_\xi \rightarrow \Gamma_{g_\xi} \quad (13)$$

to identify $\mathcal{S}_\xi$ with Γ_{g_ξ} . But, while the theory of surface groups ensures us of the existence of such an isomorphism, it is by no means unique, as the composite map $\iota \circ \alpha$ for arbitrary $\alpha \in M_{g_\xi}$ is as good as well. This means that it is not a point in Teichmuller space, but rather one in the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g_\xi}$ that is determined by the above recipe, so in order for Eq.(7) to make sense, it is imperative that the partition functions $Z_g(\tau)$ of $\mathcal{C}$ satisfy the modular invariance requirement Eq.(6). The second point is that the genus g partition function Z_g^Ω of $\mathcal{C} \wr \Omega$ gets contributions from partition functions of $\mathcal{C}$ of genus greater than g , as a consequence of the Riemann-Hurwitz formula Eq.(8). The only exception to this rule is the case $g = 1$, where we have seen that the genus one partition function of $\mathcal{C} \wr \Omega$ is completely determined by the genus one partition function of $\mathcal{C}$.

Of course, the significance of Eq.(7) is mostly theoretical, as it is by no means an easy task to compute explicitly the quantities involved - supposing that we

know already all the higher genus partition functions of $\mathcal{C}$, which is another matter -, but it should be recognized as an important step in understanding the relationship between orbifoldization, covering surfaces and uniformization theory. One may also speculate that the computation could be performed for some special class of permutation groups Ω - as it is the case for genus one -, the most important example being the full symmetric groups $\Omega = S_n$, where the determination of the higher genus partition functions of $\mathcal{C} \wr \Omega$ would yield the higher loop corrections to the free energy of the second quantized string moving in a background described by the CFT $\mathcal{C}$.

Acknowledgement : It is a pleasure to acknowledge discussions with Zalán Horváth, László Palla and Christoph Schweigert.

Work partially supported by OTKA F019477.

References

- [1] A. Klemm and M. G. Schmidt, Phys. Lett. **B245**, 53 (1990).
- [2] J. Fuchs, A. Klemm and M. G. Schmidt, Ann. Phys. **214** , 221 (1992).
- [3] L. Borisov, M. B. Halpern and C. Schweigert, Int.J.Mod.Phys. **A13**, 125-168 (1998).
- [4] K. Barron, C. Y. Dong, G. Mason, Twisted sectors for tensor product VOAs associated to permutation groups, math.QA/9803118.
- [5] R. Dijkgraaf, G. Moore, E. Verlinde and H. Verlinde, Commun. Math. Phys. **185**, 197 (1997).
- [6] R. Dijkgraaf, E. Verlinde and H. Verlinde, Nucl. Phys. **B500**, 43-61 (1997).
- [7] P. Bantay, Phys. Lett. **B419**, 175 (1998).
- [8] Y. Iwayoshi, M. Taniguchi, An Introduction to Teichmuller Spaces, Springer 1992.
- [9] A. F. Beardon, The Geometry of Discrete Groups, Springer 1983.